### **Proposed Amendment**

Rules Governing the Joint Finance-Appropriations Committee

#### Rule 9.

Legislative/Committee Intent: Language may be included with any motion to provide directions, restrictions, and/or clarifications with regard to how certain moneys are used. Such language can be adopted as one of two types of intent:

- (a) Intent Language in Committee Minutes: Intent language included in any motion shall be read back and explained to the committee by the maker of the motion prior to the vote. Language so adopted shall be set out in the written committee minutes.
- (b) Intent Language in Appropriation Bills: Any intent language meant to be included as a part of the appropriation bill shall be submitted in writing to the committee at the time the motion is made. Such written language may be amended or changed by the committee prior to adoption. Such written language may be amended after adoption to address non-substantive issues identified during the bill drafting process, such as technical, stylistic, grammatical, transposition, or typographical corrections, without committee action. Such written language may be changed by the committee after adoption to address substantive issues identified during the bill drafting process by unanimous consent or by a majority vote of a quorum present. Language so adopted shall be set out in the written committee minutes and noted that it is included in the appropriations bill.

#### Rule 11.

Alteration of Committee Action: With the exception of the provisions of Rule 9(b), Aan action formally taken by the Joint Committee may thereafter be altered only by formal action of the Joint Committee.

# **Examples**

#### Technical

- Section 67-3511, Idaho Code v. I.C. Section 67-3511
- March 14, 2018, v. March 14, 2018

## Stylistic

- State Controller v. the controller
- Full-time v. fulltime

#### Grammatical

- Their v. there
- To v. too

### Transposition

- \$1,500,000 v. \$1,500,000 million
- 3.00 FTP v. 300 FTP

# **Typographical**

- FY 2018 v. FY 2081
- Statute v. statue